# **CQI VALUE ASSESSMENT:**

Variation in Guideline-Concordant Antiemetic Use Affects Healthcare Utilization in Patients Receiving Chemotherapy

March 2023

# MICHIGAN ONCOLOGY QUALITY CONSORTIUM

# AT A GLANCE

# About MOQC

- Partners with oncology practices to improve the quality and value of cancer care in Michigan
- Funded by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan

# Antiemetic Initiative

- Provider guidance on recommended treatment regimens for prophylactic antiemetics
- Patient education resources
- Baseline assessments and performance data



"Being able to demonstrate the impact of olanzapine use on healthcare utilization and cost supports MOQC's work and provides valuable information to practices."

#### -Jennifer J. Griggs, MD, MPH, FACP, FASCO, MOQC Director



## BACKGROUND

The Michigan Oncology Quality Consortium (MOQC) is a physician-led, voluntary collaborative of medical and gynecologic oncologists who come together to improve the quality and value of cancer care in Michigan. One of MOQC's clinical quality initiatives is its Antiemetic Initiative, which aims to improve the quality of care provided to patients receiving chemotherapy throughout Michigan. Chemotherapy-Induced Nausea and Vomiting (CINV) is among the most feared side effects of chemotherapy among cancer patients. It impairs the patient's quality of life and also adds to the morbidity and cost of therapy. Therefore, this initiative supports MOQC-participating oncology practices in aligning with current guidelines for use of prophylactic antiemetics, including olanzapine, in patients receiving chemotherapy. Some of the practice benefits from participating in the MOQC Antiemetic Initiative include performance data and baseline assessments in the area of CINV guideline adherence, support in identifying gaps in care and quality improvement measures, and resources for provider and patient education.

Olanzapine is underused in patients receiving high-emetic-risk chemotherapy, despite evidence of efficacy and good patient tolerance (Navari et al., 2016). Olanzapine is a long-used medication (originally in higher doses for the treatment of psychosis) that is highly effective at decreasing nausea and vomiting. Uptake of olanzapine has been low, however, in part due to oncologists' lack of familiarity with the medication, lack of awareness or agreement with the guidelines, and lack of olanzapine inclusion on prepopulated order sets. The current labeling of olanzapine as an antipsychotic poses an additional barrier. A benefit to this medication, in addition to its effect on nausea and vomiting, is its low cost compared with other medicines used to prevent the side effects of chemotherapy; the cost for each pill is about 25 cents.

To help evaluate the impact of its Antiemetic Initiative on guideline-concordant olanzapine use, MOQC reached out to the Michigan Value Collaborative (MVC) to leverage its robust claims-based data. MOQC hypothesized that patients treated in medical oncology practices with low rates of olanzapine prescribing would have higher rates of healthcare utilization, including hospitalizations, emergency department (ED) visits, and unplanned outpatient visits between treatment cycles. The goal of this analysis was to estimate the initiative's overall impact on healthcare utilization for breast cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy as well as any related cost savings that improved the value of care delivery.

## METHODOLOGY

The cohort for this analysis was comprised of female patients with a 90-day claimsbased MVC episode of care for lumpectomy or mastectomy in 2016-2021 who received combination chemotherapy with doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide as either neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy. The cohort included patients covered by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan (BCBSM) PPO Commercial, BCBSM PPO Medicare Advantage (MA), Blue Care Network (BCN) HMO Commercial, BCN HMO MA, and Medicare Fee-For-Service. Facility and professional claims in the 180 days pre- and postsurgery were used to identify receipt of chemotherapy drugs doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide. Using MOQC provider-to-practice designations, each patient was attributed to a single practice according to National Provider Identifiers (NPI) on professional claims in the 30 days prior to the patient's first chemotherapy claim. The resulting MVC analysis included episodes for 1,891 patients who had a breast cancer resection, received both chemotherapy drugs on the same day, and were attributed to a MOQC provider/practice. Patients were attributed to 45 of MOQC's participating

Support for the Michigan Value Collaborative is provided by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan as part of the BCBSM Value Partnerships program. Although BCBSM and MVC work in partnership, the opinions, beliefs, and viewpoints expressed by MVC do not necessarily reflect the opinions, beliefs, and viewpoints of BCBSM or any of its employees.

practices. Facility claims were used to identify ED visits and inpatient admissions across the patient's course of chemotherapy, from the first treatment date through 21 days after the last date.

Practice-level olanzapine data collected by MOQC was then used to assess whether each patient's first chemotherapy receipt was during a time when their attributed practice had high or low prescribing rates of olanzapine. The threshold for high versus low prescribing at a particular practice was set at a 25% prescribing rate. Once a practice reached 25% prescribing rates of olanzapine in MOQC's data, that practice was considered to have "high" olanzapine prescribing rates in all subsequent months for this analysis. Using that distinction of whether the practice was a high or low prescriber during the course of the patient's chemotherapy regimen, MVC compared postchemotherapy healthcare utilization among patients treated by high-versus low-prescriber practices. Subanalyses further restricted the cohort to patients attributed to a practice that ever became categorized as having high olanzapine prescribing rates. When limiting the analysis to practices that became high prescribers at any point, the cohort was narrowed down to patients attributed to 15 MOQC practices.

#### Limitations

The nature of claims data limited MVC's ability to identify patients attributed to participating oncologists at MOQC practices; the requirement of each patient in the cohort having a MOQC provider NPI on one of their claims reduced the analytic cohort to a smaller size than what would be seen in clinical data. Another limitation is that the findings may include period effects not controlled for in this analysis. Practice behavior and availability of inpatient beds may have differed between when a practice was a low olanzapine prescriber compared to when they began prescribing olanzapine at a higher rate. Finally, payment calculations included in this analysis are limited to dollars saved among the attributed claims-based population and, therefore, do not reflect savings that may be attributed to olanzapine use among the broader population of interest.

#### **IMPACT & NEXT STEPS**

A key finding in the analysis included a significant difference in healthcare utilization across the course of chemotherapy among patients treated by high olanzapine prescribing MOQC practices compared to when they had low olanzapine utilization. Among the patients with cancer who received their first cycle of chemotherapy when their provider's practice had a high prescribing rate (≥25%), 10% were hospitalized (Figure 1). This inpatient admission rate was significantly lower than for those patients undergoing chemotherapy regimens at practices with low olanzapine prescribing rates, 15% of whom were hospitalized (p=0.02). This finding was based on a subset of patients attributed to practices who eventually became high olanzapine prescribers during the study period (922 patients at 15 practices).

This analysis further discovered a significant difference in the percentage of patients who had either an ED visit

Figure 1. Rates of Inpatient Admission Across Patients' Course of Chemotherapy, by Practice's Utilization Rate of Antiemetics at the Start of Chemotherapy (N=922)



or inpatient admission. Of the patients receivingchemotherapy at MOQC practices, fewer patients at high-prescribing practices had either an ED visit or inpatient admission (19%) across the course of their treatment compared to patients receiving care at low-prescribing practices (26%).

MVC estimated a cost savings of \$334,095 across the course of chemotherapy from the increased use of olanzapine and decreased inpatient admissions in this cohort of patients. Dollars saved were calculated by taking the number of patients whose chemotherapy began when their practice was a high prescriber (525), multiplied by the difference in the percentage of patients with an inpatient admission across the course of chemotherapy attributed to practice antiemetic prescribing rate (5.3%), multiplied by the average price-standardized payment for an inpatient admission during a 90-day episode of care among breast cancer resection episodes for the included payers (\$12,007).

MVC estimated a cost savings of \$334,095 across the course of chemotherapy from the increased use of olanzapine and decreased inpatient admissions in this cohort of patients.

This analysis demonstrated further evidence that the use of prophylactic olanzapine is an effective strategy for managing CINV-related ED visits or hospitalizations. It furthermore identified tangible CQI impact in the form of patients who underwent breast cancer treatment being less likely to visit the ED or be hospitalized over the course of their chemotherapy regimen. This impact can also be conceptualized in terms of dollars saved on facility inpatient costs across the course of chemotherapy, evidence of the value of MOQC's efforts.

Given that the nature of claims data resulted in a relatively small subset of patients being included in this analysis, there are potentially even more reductions to care utilization and spending than were identified. Over 4,000 Michigan patients insured by BCBSM, BCN, Medicare FFS, and Medicaid had breast cancer resection episodes during this time period and received the same combination chemotherapy regimen. This analysis accounted for only 47% of those patient episodes. Furthermore, a 25% prescribing rate threshold for high-prescribing practices suggests that even some "high-performers" have ample room for improvement in guideline-concordant antiemetic use.

Ongoing work will continue to support practices to make changes in the use of olanzapine, not only in patients receiving combination therapy with doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide but also in other high-emetic-risk regimens.